

University of Groningen

A class of port-controlled Hamiltonian systems

Jayawardhana, B.; Weiss, G.

Published in:
44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control

DOI:
[10.1109/CDC.2005.1583059](https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2005.1583059)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2005

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Jayawardhana, B., & Weiss, G. (2005). A class of port-controlled Hamiltonian systems. In *44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control: European Control Conference 2005* (pp. 5630-5632). IEEE (The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers). <https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2005.1583059>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

A class of port-controlled Hamiltonian systems

Bayu Jayawardhana and George Weiss

Abstract—In this paper, we examine a particular class of port-controlled Hamiltonian systems for which the equations can be written in a form that is very similar to the equations of a linear passive system. We examine the passivity of such a system around an equilibrium point (u_0, x_0) , which generates the output y_0 . We show that under some mild assumptions, a new Hamiltonian can be found such that the system is again passive with respect to the new supply rate $(y - y_0)^T(u - u_0)$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Port-controlled Hamiltonian systems on the state space \mathbb{R}^n are, by definition, systems described by the equations

$$\dot{z} = A(z) \left(\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z} \right)^T + B(z)u, \quad (1)$$

$$y = B^T(z) \left(\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z} \right)^T, \quad (2)$$

where the state z , the input u and the output y are functions of $t \geq 0$, such that $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u(t), y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The continuous matrix-valued functions A, B are such that $A(z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $A(z) + A^T(z) \leq 0$, $B(z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. The function $H \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is called the *Hamiltonian* of the system. We represent u, x and y as column vectors. In this paper, we treat $\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z}$ as a row vector, following the general convention for Jacobian matrices. Port-controlled Hamiltonian systems have been extensively studied, see, for example, [3], [5], [6].

In this paper we examine the particular class of port-controlled Hamiltonian systems for which the function $z \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z} \right)^T$ is left invertible, i.e., there exists a continuous function $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$F \left(\left(\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z} \right)^T \right) = z \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3)$$

Here, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the set of all the vectors of the form $\left(\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z} \right)^T$, for some $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We claim that Ω is open. This is not a trivial fact. The reasoning goes as follows: The function $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \Omega$ defined by

$$G(z) = \left(\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z} \right)^T \quad (4)$$

is of class \mathcal{C}^1 , in particular, it is continuous. Its inverse F is (by assumption) also continuous. By Corollary 3.2 in [1, Chapter XVII], F maps any boundary point of Ω contained

in Ω into a boundary point of $F(\Omega)$. But $F(\Omega) = \mathbb{R}^n$ has no boundary points, hence Ω contains none of its boundary points. Hence, it is open. Obviously, Ω is also simply connected.

In the sequel, we also assume that F is of class \mathcal{C}^2 .

Typically, if a component of $x = \left(\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z} \right)^T$ represents a voltage on a capacitor (or a current through an inductor, or a force on a spring) then the corresponding component of $z = F(x)$ would be the electrical charge (or the flux, or the displacement) of the same storage element. If the storage elements of a system are non-linear, then of course F is non-linear. (See also the example treated at the end of this paper.)

Let us denote $\mathcal{H}(x) = H(F(x))$, then the definition of F implies that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(x)}{\partial x} = x^T \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x}, \quad (5)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. This implies that for all $x \in \Omega$, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(x)}{\partial x}$ is self-adjoint, to ensure that $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(x)}{\partial x}$ is a gradient, i.e., for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}(x)}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}.$$

Remark 1.1: The equation (5) implies that if F is given, we can compute \mathcal{H} (up to an additive constant). For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $[a, b]$ the closed straight line segment from a to b . Let Ω_0 be the set of all those $x \in \Omega$ for which $[0, x] \subset \Omega$. If \mathcal{H} is given, we can compute $F(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_0$ (up to an additive constant), by integrating (5) on $[0, x]$.

Using F and denoting $x = \left(\frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z} \right)^T$, the standard port-controlled Hamiltonian equations (1)–(2) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{F}(x) = \mathcal{A}(x)x + \mathcal{B}(x)u, \quad (6)$$

$$y = \mathcal{B}^T(x)x, \quad (7)$$

where $x(t) \in \Omega$, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{A}(x) = A(F(x))$ and $\mathcal{B}(x) = B(F(x))$ (for all $x \in \Omega$).

For the system described by (6)–(7), it is particularly easy to check passivity, i.e., $\dot{\mathcal{H}}(x) \leq y^T u$.

In this paper we show that this special class of port-controlled Hamiltonian systems, under some additional assumptions, has the following desirable property:

Property A. If $(u_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \Omega$ is an *equilibrium point* of the system (6)–(7), generating the output y_0 , i.e.,

$$0 = \mathcal{A}(x_0)x_0 + \mathcal{B}(x_0)u_0, \quad (8)$$

$$y_0 = \mathcal{B}^T(x_0)x_0, \quad (9)$$

This work is supported by the EPSRC, United Kingdom, under grant number GR/S61256/01.

The authors are with the Control and Power Group, Dept. of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK. e-mail: b.jawardhana@imperial.ac.uk, g.weiss@imperial.ac.uk

(the corresponding equilibrium state for (1)–(2) is, of course, $z_0 = F(x_0)$), then the same system is again passive with respect to a suitable new Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 and the new supply rate $(y - y_0)^T(u - u_0)$, i.e.,

$$\dot{\mathcal{H}}_0(x) \leq (y - y_0)^T(u - u_0). \quad (10)$$

Property **A** is natural in LTI systems. Suppose that an LTI system \mathbf{P} with state z , input u and output y , is passive with respect to the quadratic storage function H . Let (u_0, z_0) be an equilibrium point of \mathbf{P} , generating the output y_0 . Then, the same LTI system \mathbf{P} is also passive with respect to the quadratic storage function $z \mapsto H(z - z_0)$ and the supply rate $(y - y_0)^T(u - u_0)$, i.e., (10) holds.

One application of Property **A** is the PI controller-based tracking control of a constant reference signal for such a system, see [2].

II. MAIN RESULT

Notation and definitions. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we use the norm $\|x\| = (\sum_n |x_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the space $\mathcal{C}^q(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^p)$ consists of q times continuously differentiable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *monotone and non-decreasing* if it satisfies

$$(f(a) - f(b))^T(a - b) \geq 0,$$

for any $a, b \in \Omega$ and it is called *strictly monotone increasing* if it satisfies

$$(f(a) - f(b))^T(a - b) > 0,$$

for any $a \neq b, a, b \in \Omega$, see, for example, [7].

H is called *proper* if $H(z) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\|z\| \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.1: Suppose that, for the port-controlled Hamiltonian system described by (1)–(2) with Hamiltonian function H , there exists a function $F \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ that satisfies (3), where Ω is the set described after (3). Let $\mathcal{A}(x) = A(F(x))$ and $\mathcal{B}(x) = B(F(x))$ (for all $x \in \Omega$), so that the system is described by (6)–(7). Assume that the function $x \mapsto -\mathcal{A}(x)x$ is monotone and non-decreasing, and \mathcal{B} is constant.

Then, the system (6)–(7) has Property **A**. More precisely, for any equilibrium point $(u_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \Omega$ of (6)–(7) generating the output y_0 , we define $\mathcal{H}_0 \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ (up to an additive constant) by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0(x)}{\partial x} = (x - x_0)^T \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x}. \quad (11)$$

Then, the same system is passive w.r.t. \mathcal{H}_0 and supply rate $(y - y_0)^T(u - u_0)$, i.e., (10) holds.

Proof: The first step is to prove that for every point $x \in \Omega$, the matrix $\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x}$ is invertible. Indeed, using the function G from (4), by (3), $F(G(z)) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and hence,

$$\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=G(z)} \cdot \frac{\partial G(z)}{\partial z} = I.$$

This shows that $\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x}$ is invertible at $x = G(z)$.

Define

$$H_0(z) = \mathcal{H}_0(G(z)). \quad (12)$$

The second step is to show that for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$\frac{\partial H_0(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=F(x)} = (x - x_0)^T. \quad (13)$$

We see from (12), taking $z = F(x)$, that

$$\mathcal{H}_0(x) = H_0(F(x)).$$

Hence, by the chain rule,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial H_0(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=F(x)} \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x}.$$

Using (11), we obtain

$$(x - x_0)^T \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial H_0(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=F(x)} \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x}. \quad (14)$$

According to the result from the first step, we can eliminate $\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x}$ appearing on both sides, which yields (13).

The final step is to show that, for any equilibrium point (u_0, x_0) generating the output y_0 , (10) holds.

We rewrite the system (6)–(7) with constant \mathcal{B} as follows:

$$\dot{F}(x) = \mathcal{A}(x)x + \mathcal{B}u_0 + \mathcal{B}(u - u_0), \quad (15)$$

$$y - y_0 = \mathcal{B}^T(x - x_0). \quad (16)$$

Using (8)–(16), $\dot{\mathcal{H}}_0(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_0(x) &= \frac{\partial H_0(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=F(x)} \dot{F}(x) \\ &= (x - x_0)^T \mathcal{A}(x)x + (x - x_0)^T \mathcal{B}u_0 \\ &\quad + (x - x_0)^T \mathcal{B}(u - u_0) \\ &= (x - x_0)^T (\mathcal{A}(x)x - \mathcal{A}(x_0)x_0) \\ &\quad + (y - y_0)^T(u - u_0) \\ &\leq (y - y_0)^T(u - u_0), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to the monotonicity of $-\mathcal{A}(x)x$. \square

Assume now that $0 \in \Omega$ (this implies that $(0, 0)$ is an equilibrium point of the system (6)–(7)). Let $x \in \Omega_0$ (Ω_0 has been defined in Remark 1.1). If we integrate (5) on $[0, x]$ and denote $dx = x d\lambda$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{H}(x) &= x^T dF(x) = x^T \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} dx \\ &= x^T \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} x d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Thus, if $\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega_0$, (17) implies that $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is radially increasing in Ω_0 and has its minimum over the set Ω_0 at $x = 0$.

Let Ω_{x_0} be the set of those $x \in \Omega$ for which $[x_0, x] \subset \Omega$. If we integrate (11) on $[x_0, x]$ and denote $dx = (x - x_0)d\lambda$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{H}_0(x) &= (x - x_0)^T dF(x) = (x - x_0)^T \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} dx \\ &= (x - x_0)^T \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} (x - x_0) d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$




Fig. 1. A nonlinear electrical circuit which consists of a nonlinear resistor, a nonlinear inductor and a nonlinear capacitor.

Then, if $\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega_{x_0}$, (18) implies that $\mathcal{H}_0(x)$ is radially increasing in Ω_{x_0} and has its minimum over the set Ω_{x_0} at x_0 .

Note that if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we can also conclude from the above argument that \mathcal{H} has a global minimum at 0 and it is proper, i.e., $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(x) = \infty$, and similarly for $\mathcal{H}_0(x)$.

III. EXAMPLE

Consider the electrical circuit diagram in Fig. 1. The nonlinear system \mathbf{P} in Fig. 1 can be described in state space as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi}(I_2) \\ \dot{q}(V) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -R(I_2) & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 \\ V \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u, \quad (19)$$

$$y = V, \quad (20)$$

where $x(t) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} I_2(t) \\ V(t) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the input current, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the output voltage, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $(\phi(b) - \phi(a))(b - a) > 0$ for any $a \neq b$, $q \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $q(0) = 0$, $(q(b) - q(a))(b - a) > 0$ for any $a \neq b$ and $R \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $R(I_2)I_2$ is strictly monotone increasing, i.e.,

$$(R(b)b - R(a)a)(b - a) > 0, \quad (21)$$

for any $a \neq b$. I_2 is the current flowing through the inductor and $\phi(I_2)$ denotes magnetic flux of the inductor. The voltage across inductor is given by $V_L = \dot{\phi}(I_2)$. The voltage of the capacitor is equal to V and $q(V)$ denotes electric charge in the capacitor. The current flowing through the capacitor is given by $I_1 = \dot{q}(V)$. Note that for a linear resistor, $\alpha(I_2) = RI_2$, where $R > 0$ is the resistance of the element, for a linear inductor, $\phi(I_2) = LI_2$, where $L > 0$ denotes the inductance and for a linear capacitor, $q(V) = CV$, where $C > 0$ denotes the capacitance.

It can be seen that the system described by (19) and (20) is of the form (6)–(7) with $F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi(I_2) \\ q(V) \end{bmatrix}$. Since $\phi(I_2)$ and $q(V)$ are strictly monotone, it follows that $\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} > 0$. The storage function $\mathcal{H}(x)$ (up to an additive constant) can be found by integrating (5) on a straight line from 0 to x . More

precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(x) - \mathcal{H}(0) &= q(V)V - \int_0^V q(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &\quad + \phi(I_2)I_2 - \int_0^{I_2} \phi(\lambda)d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $\mathcal{H}(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ is an additive constant. $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is radially increasing and has global minimum at 0. It is easy to see that \mathbf{P} is passive with input u and output y using $\mathcal{H}(x)$ in (22), i.e. $\dot{\mathcal{H}} \leq y^T u$.

For any $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, let I_{20} be the solution to $R(I_{20})I_{20} = y_0$ (it is unique by (21)), let $V_0 = y_0$ and let $u_0 = I_{20}$. It can be checked that $(u_0, x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} I_{20} \\ V_0 \end{bmatrix})$ is the equilibrium point of (19)–(20) and the corresponding output is y_0 . Thus, for any $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a unique (u_0, x_0) which gives the equilibrium point of \mathbf{P} .

For any set of equilibrium point (u_0, x_0) with the corresponding output y_0 , Theorem 2.1 shows that the electrical circuit \mathbf{P} is also passive with input $u - u_0$ and output $y - y_0$, where the storage function $\mathcal{H}_0(x)$ (up to an additive constant) is computed by integrating (11) from x_0 to x . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0(x) - \mathcal{H}_0(x_0) &= q(V)(V - V_0) - \int_{V_0}^V q(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &\quad + \phi(I_2)(I_2 - I_{20}) - \int_{I_{20}}^{I_2} \phi(\lambda)d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_0(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ is an additive constant. $\mathcal{H}_0(x)$ satisfies (11), radially increasing and has global minimum at x_0 . Indeed, $\mathcal{H}_0(x) \leq (y - y_0)^T (u - u_0)$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A particular class of port-controlled Hamiltonian systems has been presented, for which the equations can be written in a form that is very similar to the equations of a linear passive system. For such a system, it is interesting to note that around an equilibrium point (u_0, x_0) , which generates the output y_0 , and under some mild assumptions, a new Hamiltonian can be found such that the system is again passive with respect to the new supply rate $(y - y_0)^T (u - u_0)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1966.
- [2] B. Jayawardhana, "Tracking and disturbance rejection for passive nonlinear systems," *Proc. 44th IEEE CDC-ECC'05*, Seville, 2005.
- [3] B. Maschke, R. Ortega and A.J. van der Schaft, "Energy-based Lyapunov functions for forced Hamiltonian systems with dissipation," *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, vol. 45, pp. 1498–1502, 2000.
- [4] R. Ortega, A. Loria, P.J. Nicklasson, H. Sira-Ramírez, *Passivity-Based Control of Euler-Lagrange Systems*, Springer-Verlag, London, 1998.
- [5] R. Ortega, A.J. van der Schaft, B. Maschke and G. Escobar, "Interconnection and damping assignment passivity-based control of port-controlled Hamiltonian systems," *Automatica*, vol. 38, pp. 585–596, 2002.
- [6] A.J. van der Schaft, *L₂-Gain and Passivity Techniques in Nonlinear Control*, Springer-Verlag, London, 2000.
- [7] R.E. Showalter, *Monotone Operators in Banach Space and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.